

# Disruptive institutional change and gradual transformation: Long-term Care Insurance in Germany

Hildegard Theobald, University of Vechta Sarah Hampel, University of Dortmund 2<sup>nd</sup> International Conference on Evidence-based Policy in Long-term Care London School of Economics London, September, 2012



#### Structure

• European comparative project:

Policy developments within long-term care

Example: Germany

- Structure of the presentation:
  - Problem pressure
  - Content of policy change
  - Policy development: Actors and ideas



## **Problem pressure**

#### **Background**

Since 1961:

Means-tested "Assistance to Care" scheme

Social policy debate since the end of the 1970s:
 Risk of impoverishment due to the use of residential care services – middle classes
 Lack of home-based services

#### Fiscal debate:

Increasing social assistance costs: High burden on local levels



## Disruptive versus gradual transformation

## Thelen/ Streeck (2005)

Disruptive policy change - Replacement

With the introduction of Long-term Care Insurance (LTCI):

- Introduction of universal social rights and benefits
- Establishment of an insurance-based funding scheme
- Restructuring of mode of care provision
- Restructuring governance



#### **Gradual transformation**

#### Since establishment:

- Gradual transformation
  - Public support for people suffering dementia illness
  - Further development of care infrastructure
  - Public support for complementary private insurance plans



## Disruptive policy Change: Social rights and benefits

Basic ideas: Universalism and cost containment

Schemes	Assistance to Care	Long-term Care Insurance
Institutional design:		
- Eligibility criteria	Means-tested	Universal
- Level of public support	Needs-based	Flat rate, medium
- Threshold	Household assistance	Bodily care
Beneficiaries	Ca. 563 000 (1994)	2,34 Mill (2009) 11.5% 65+



## **Financing**

Schemes	Assistance to Care	Long-term Care Insurance
Institutional design		
Mode of financing	Tax-financing	Social LTCI (89%) and mandatory private LTCI (11%)
Principles		Defined contribution scheme
Results		Split financial developments



## **Care provision**

Schemes	Assistance to Care	Long-term Care Insurance
<ul><li>Types of benefits</li><li>Care infrastructure</li></ul>	Cash payments/ services  Cooperation: Local	Cash payments/ services: Free choice  Care market, contract
	levels and non-profit providers	management Care Insurance funds/ for- and non-profit providers on equal terms
- Results		65% cash payments Strong increase: For- profit providers



## Governance

Schemes	Assistance to Care	Long-term Care Insurance
Structure	Centrally-framed local responsibility	Centrally framed: Strong federal responsibility



## **Policy development**

- Federalist, corporatist system
  - Negotiation and compromise between
  - Federal level: Christian-democratic/liberal government
  - Second chamber (Federal States): Social-democratic Party
- Local levels, employer organisations and unions, health insurance funds
- Welfare associations, association of private services, advocacy organisations, professional organisations
- Social policy-versus fiscal oriented actors



## Policy development: Mode of financing (1,2)

- Basic ideas:
  - Individual responsibility, role of market versus social responsibility, role of public schemes, redistribution
- In the course of establishment:
   Private insurance-, social insurance- or tax-based scheme
- Private insurance scheme: Liberal Party, employer organisations, private business
- Social insurance scheme: Christian-democratic Party, Social-democratic Party
- Impact of private insurance companies:
   Social- and mandatory private insurance scheme
   (Health insurance schemes)



## Policy development: Mode of Financing (2,2)

#### **Since 2000**

#### Problem pressure and debate:

- Demographic change and sustainable financing
- Deficit within framework of social LTCI Surplus within private LTCI

### Citizen insurance (Left-wing camp)

- One unified financing scheme to avoid different risk structures
- Besides wages other types of incomes should be considered
- Balance payments

## Capital-funded private insurance (Right-wing camp)

- Sustainable financing in the future
- From 2013: Introduction of public support for voluntary private insurance plans



## Conclusion (1,2)

## Introduction of Long-term Care Insurance Universalism and cost containment

- Social rights and benefits: Medium level
- Mode and principles of financing
- Care provision: Family and market
- Governance: Strong regulatory role of federal level

#### Actors:

Social-policy-versus fiscal-oriented actors:

Health policy related actors: Universalism and cost increase



## Conclusion (2,2)

#### **Gradual transformation:**

Consensus, no basic change:

- Dementia illness, development of care infrastructure

### Controversy:

- Complementary private insurance plans

Starting-point for a more fundamental change?



#### **Publication**

 Theobald, H. / Hampel, S. (forthcoming). Radical Institutional Chance and Incremental Transformation: Long-Term Care Insurance in Gemany

In: Ranci, C./ Pavolini, E. (eds.).
Reforms in Long Term Care Policies in Europe.
Springer Science and Business Media New York



Thank you for your attention

hildegard.theobald@uni-vechta.de