2nd International Conference on Evidence-based Policy in Long-term Care

London School of Economics, 5-8 September 2012

The development of employment and skills in the social care sector: a comparison of Germany and Japan

Bernard H Casey
Institute for Employment Research
University of Warwick, UK









Outline of presentation

Motivation

Objectives of LTCI in Germany and Japan

Developments in Germany

Developments in Japan

Responses to constraints

Wider implications

Motivation

Projections of future demand for care

Fiscal implications

Labour force constraints

Enhancing workforce quality

Meeting standards of provision

Improving recruitment and retention

Improving productivity / reducing costs

Monitoring outcomes of LTCI on workforce

Research for Skills for Care and Development

Comparing different VET systems under "varieties of capitalism"

Objectives of LTCI in Germany and Japan

Providing firm financial basis

Moving care outside social assistance

Extending eligibility and codifying entitlement

Developing a "care industry"

Providers from outside the public sector

Appropriately qualified labour force

Reducing burden on informal carers

A Japanese peculiarity

Moving care out of hospital sector

Breaking down traditional roles

... with gender dimension

Developments in Germany

Two ways to use care benefits

Payments to family members / neighbours

Purchasing service from recognised provider

Growth of "domiciliary care industry"

Private provision of domiciliary care (62% of dom. care)

registered providers grew 11%, 99-09 # for profit grew 34%,

not for profit (not public) fell13%

small (av. 35 clients) and local



Developments in Germany (2)

Fast growth in workforce

carers of elderly grew 60% 99-09

health and care workers, 15%; total emp., 2% 10th most important occupation for women

Role of vocational training

Covered by "apprentice system"

in dom. care sector: fully qual., c87%; assistants, c13% (no change) attempts to improve further training opps. (but state not national responsib.)

Extensive use of family and "grey" labour

46% still use cash payments

150K east European women working "illegally" (v 190K emps) care benefits only a fraction of care-home costs

"Care jobs as work-oblig. for social assistance recipients"

Developments in Japan

Only one way to use care benefits

Purchasing service from recognised provider

Growth of "domiciliary care industry"

providers grew 64%, 00-08

private providers account for c37% and up to 20% more

not-for-profit (non-state) for most of rest for-profit providers growing in importance

small (av. 35 clients) and local



Developments in Japan (2)

Fast growth in workforce

domiciliary care workers doubled 00-05 instit. care workers, 17%; total emp., stagnant slower growth later

Role of vocational training

Provided by specialist schools / colleges qualifications req'd for all doing dom. care

Additional qualifications to enhance supervision skills but work-based experience needed

Extensive use of family labour

Most use combination of formal and informal care expenditure cuts and emphasis on "prevention"

Attempts to recruit foreign labour frustrated cultural (and language) barriers

Response to constraints

Labour force shortages

Growth of # of frail elderly + shrinking labour forces increases in female labour force participation high turnover / loss?

Improving recruitment

Enhancing occupational status

career / promotion paths, pay work organisation (and job stress)

Widening the net

(young) men into "non-traditional" occupations recruiting / retraining older unemployed and re-entrants

Regularising "grey" employment costly, but might improved standards

Response to constraints (2)

Getting round "Baumol's law"

Improving productivity in labour intensive services whilst maintaining quality

Improving employee skills

Training for staff and managers

improving occup status

Increasing capital-labour ratio

Role for new technology

beyond "tele-care"

also

"attracting boys into girls jobs"

the feeding robot

the keeping company robot





Wider implications

- Two ways of meeting care needs
 - Both countries recognise need to professionalise labour force
 - ... but Germany still relying upon informal and grey labour
 - ... whilst Japan trying to rely on technology
- Fiscal, political and social pressures
 - Fiscal benefits of productivity enhancement
 - Political costs of increased immigration
 - Social costs of "de-personalising" care
- Challenge for research and policy
 - Subject matter for international collaboration
 - European Commission and FP7 SSH-13